

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1:	Alternative site locations	1-3
Figure 1-2:	Duynfontein site relative to nearby landmarks (not to scale)	1-3
Figure 1-3:	Bantamsklip site relative to nearby landmarks (not to scale)	1-4
Figure 1-4:	Thyspunt site relative to nearby landmarks (not to scale)	1-4
Figure 1-5:	EIA Process as prescribed by the NEMA EIA Regulations ( <i>R</i> refers to Regulation numbers)	1-7
Figure 3-1:	Key features of a Pressurised Water Reactor	3-5
Figure 3-2:	Simplified diagrammatic depiction of a Pressurised Water Reactor (PWR)	3-6
Figure 3-3:	Simplified diagrammatic depiction of a nuclear power station	3-7
Figure 3-4:	Schematic depiction of the desalinisation and demineralisation plants	3-19
Figure 3-5:	General waste management activities for ILW and LLW	3-27
Figure 3-6:	Compactable (LLW) and non-compactable (ILW) radioactive waste storage containers	3-27
Figure 3-7:	Standard steel and concrete containers used for radioactive waste disposal at Vaalputs	3-28
Figure 3-8:	Storage facility for ILW and LLW showing concrete drums in the background and steel drums in the foreground	3-28
Figure 3-9:	Trench layout for low and intermediate level waste at Vaalputs	3-30
Figure 3-10:	Low Level (steel) and Intermediate Level (concrete) radioactive waste drums (respectively above and below) at Vaalputs.	3-32
Figure 3-11:	Placement of Low Level Waste steel drums at Vaalputs	3-32
Figure 3-12:	Storage pond for HLW at Koeberg Nuclear Power Station	3-32
Figure 4-1:	Total energy production in South Africa, categorised by fuel, since 1971	4-1
Figure 4-2:	Projected electricity requirements for South Africa to 2034 based on different scenarios	4-2
Figure 4-3:	Energy supply in South Africa from 2006 to 2010	4-2
Figure 4-4:	Projected electricity requirements for South Africa to 2025	4-4
Figure 4-5:	Capacity requirement 2009 – 2020	4-4
Figure 4-6:	Net reserve margin	4-5
Figure 4-7:	Daily electricity demand patterns	4-6
Figure 4-8:	Comparison of life-cycle greenhouse gas emissions of different electricity generation systems	4-8
Figure 5-1:	Three sites (Duynfontein, Thyspunt and Bantamsklip) deemed suitable for further consideration in the EIA Phase	5-5

Figure 5-2:	View of Duynefontein looking east towards the coast, with the existing Koeberg Nuclear Power Station visible in the left background	5-6
Figure 5-3:	View of the eastern portion of Bantamsklip	5-6
Figure 5-4:	View of the coastal portion of Thyspunt looking east	5-7
Figure 5-5:	Regional ranges of LCOE for nuclear, coal, gas and onshore wind power plants (at 5% discount rate)	5-11
Figure 5-6:	Regional ranges of LCOE for nuclear, coal, gas and onshore wind Power plants (at 10% discount rate)	5-12
Figure 5-7:	Nuclear-1 EIA corridor at Duynefontein	5-21
Figure 5-8:	Nuclear-1 EIA corridor at Bantamsklip	5-22
Figure 5-9:	Nuclear-1 EIA corridor at Thyspunt	5-23
Figure 5-10:	Alternative access routes to Thyspunt (Not to scale)	5-30
Figure 5-11:	Alternative northern access routes to Thyspunt (Not to scale)	5-31
Figure 5-12:	Alternative eastern access routes to Thyspunt (Not to scale)	5-32
Figure 5-13:	Eskom and vendor responsibilities for staff housing	5-34
Figure 6-1:	Final Energy Demand – Target Outcome To 2015	6-17
Figure 6-2:	Capacity Expansion Programme	6-19
Figure 6-3:	NNR Nuclear Installation Licensing Time Frames	6-59
Figure 7-1:	Flowchart of the Scoping and EIA process, indicating the current stage in the process	7-2
Figure 7-2:	On site Notice at Duynefontein	7-7
Figure 7-3:	On site Notice at Bantamsklip	7-8
Figure 7-4:	On site Notice at Brazil, Northern Cape	7-8
Figure 7-5:	On site Notice at Schulpfontein, Northern Cape	7-9
Figure 7-6:	On Site Notice at Thyspunt site, Eastern Cape	7-9
Figure 7-7:	Article in <i>The Mercury</i> , 29 May 2007	7-10
Figure 7-8:	Article in <i>The Herald</i> , 6 March 2008	7-11
Figure 7-9:	Public Meeting at Duynefontein	7-16
Figure 7-10:	Public Meeting at Gansbaai	7-16
Figure 7-11:	Public Open Day at Pearly Beach	7-17
Figure 7-12:	Discussion session with Hondeklipbaai residents at a Public Open Day	7-17
Figure 9-1:	Illustration of a typical debris flow	9-49
Figure 9-2:	Flow path of floodwater that damaged the R330 in November 2007	9-52
Figure 9-3:	Proposed position of the northern access road and the recommended(more eastern) position	9-75
Figure 9-4:	Predicted maximum hourly average nitrogen dioxide concentration from backup generators at Duynefontein	9-140

Figure 9-5:	Predicted maximum hourly average nitrogen dioxide concentration from backup generators at Bantamsklip	9-140
Figure 9-6:	Predicted maximum hourly average nitrogen dioxide concentration from backup generators at Thyspunt	9-141
Figure 9-7:	Predicted maximum daily average inhalable particle (PM10) concentration levels( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) during construction at Duynefontein (Unmitigated)	9-142
Figure 9-8:	Predicted maximum daily average particle fallout rates ( $\text{mg}/\text{m}^2/\text{day}$ ) during construction at Duynefontein (Unmitigated)	9-142
Figure 9-9:	Predicted maximum daily PM10 concentration levels ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) during construction at Bantamsklip (Unmitigated)	9-143
Figure 9-10:	Predicted maximum daily average particle fallout rates ( $\text{mg}/\text{m}^2/\text{day}$ ) during construction at Bantamsklip (Unmitigated)	9-143
Figure 9-11:	Predicted maximum daily average PM10 concentration levels ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) during construction at Thyspunt with Road Option A and the envelope of the nuclear power station on the east or west of the corridor (Unmitigated)	9-144
Figure 9-12:	Predicted maximum daily average particle fallout rates ( $\text{mg}/\text{m}^2/\text{day}$ ) during construction at Thyspunt with Road Option A and the envelope of the nuclear power station on the east or west of the corridor (Unmitigated)	9-144
Figure 9-13:	Predicted maximum daily average PM10 concentration levels ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) during construction at Thyspunt with Road Option B and the envelope of the nuclear power station on the east or west of the corridor (Unmitigated)	9-145
Figure 9-14:	Predicted maximum daily average PM10 concentration levels during construction at Thyspunt with Road Option C and the envelope of the nuclear power station on the east or west of the corridor (Unmitigated)	9-145
Figure 9-15:	Predicted maximum cumulative annual inhalation and external radiation dose ( $\mu\text{Sv}$ ) for Duynefontein using 30 year equilibrium for deposition	9-146
Figure 9-16:	Predicted maximum cumulative annual inhalation and external radiation dose ( $\mu\text{Sv}$ ) for Bantamsklip using 30 year equilibrium for deposition	9-146
Figure 9-17:	Predicted maximum cumulative annual inhalation and external radiation dose ( $\mu\text{Sv}$ ) for Thyspunt using 30 year equilibrium for deposition	9-147
Figure 9-18:	Surf breaks in the St. Francis / Jeffreys Bay region	9-155
Figure 9-19:	Maximum sediment thickness at Thyspunt (shallow disposal site, full sediment volume, high discharge rate)	9-156

Figure 9-20:	Maximum sediment thickness at Thyspunt (deep disposal site, full sediment volume, high discharge rate)	9-157
Figure 9-21:	Duynefontein viewshed analysis	9-239
Figure 9-22:	Duynefontein visibility intensity zones	9-240
Figure 9-23:	Bantamsklip viewshed analysis	9-241
Figure 9-24:	Bantamsklip visibility intensity zones	9-242
Figure 9-25:	Thyspunt viewshed analysis	9-243
Figure 9-26:	Thyspunt visibility intensity zones	9-244
Figure 9-27:	Proposed wind farm sites in proximity to Thyspunt (From BCK 2010)	9-245
Figure 9-28:	Graphic representation of an SPMT	9-269
Figure 9-29:	A SPMT utilising the entire width of a road	9-269

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1:	Details of the Applicant	2-1
Table 2-2:	Details of the Environmental Assessment Practitioner	2-2
Table 2-3:	Details of the Competent / Relevant Authority	2-3
Table 3-1:	Estimated timeframes for Nuclear-1's lifecycle	3-9
Table 3-2:	Main construction activities per year	3-9
Table 3-3:	Accommodation requirements per nuclear power station site	3-11
Table 3-4:	Minimum land requirements (hectares) required for accommodation	3-12
Table 3-5:	Nuclear Power Station and associated infrastructure requirements	3-14
Table 3-6:	Estimated water consumption during construction and operation phases	3-17
Table 3-7:	Reservoirs required on site	3-17
Table 3-8:	Material required for construction of key elements of the nuclear power station	3-20
Table 3-9:	Inputs and outputs related to the operational phase under normal operating conditions	3-22
Table 3-10:	Typical waste types during construction of a nuclear power station for a similar plant	3-24
Table 3-11:	Categories of Radioactive Waste	3-26
Table 3-12:	Maximum inhalation and external effective dose predicted in the 40 km by 40 km study area for all three nuclear power station sites	3-33
Table 3-13:	Maximum quantities of chemical effluent discharged from demineralisation and desalinisation plants	3-34
Table 3-14:	Estimated traffic figures for the construction phase	3-39
Table 3-15:	Estimated monthly trips of abnormal loads for the construction phase	3-39
Table 4-1:	Electricity production from fossil fuels (top 10 countries)	4-7
Table 5-1:	Summary of electricity generation technologies that are commercially available, but not necessarily financially viable for Eskom	5-9
Table 5-2:	Summary of plant and operational costs for a range of generation technologies (values from EPRI 2010)	5-13
Table 5-3:	Summary of Eskom's short-listed nuclear plant type technologies	5-14
Table 5-4:	Key features of the Nuclear-1 envelope	5-15
Table 5-5:	Offshore spoil disposal options at Duynefontein	5-26
Table 5-6:	Offshore spoil disposal options at Bantamsklip	5-26
Table 5-7:	Offshore spoil disposal options at Thyspunt	5-26
Table 6-1:	Key responsibilities of various role-players with respect to nuclear emergency management	6-22
Table 6-2:	Activities requiring Basic Assessment	6-31
Table 6-3:	Activities requiring Scoping and EIA	6-39

Table 6-4:	Consistency of the nuclear power station with the NEMA principles	6-68
Table 7-1:	Paid newspaper advertisements for project announcement	7-5
Table 7-2a:	Distribution of BIDs at public libraries during the Scoping Phase	7-6
Table 7-2b:	Distribution of BIDs at additional public venues during the Scoping Phase	7-7
Table 7-3a:	Public Meetings held during the Scoping Phase	7-13
Table 7-3b:	Meetings - Key Stakeholder Workshops	7-14
Table 7-3c:	Meetings - Focus Group Meetings	7-14
Table 7-3d:	Public Meetings and Public Open Days	7-15
Table 7-3e:	Key stakeholder and Authorities Feedback Meetings	7-15
Table 7-4:	Availability of the Draft and Final Scoping Reports	7-18
Table 7-5:	Summary of issues raised	7-19
Table 7-6:	Newspaper advertisements announcing Draft EIR availability	7-21
Table 7-7:	Venues where copies of the Draft EIR was made available	7-21
Table 7-8:	Review period for the Draft EIR	7-22
Table 7-9:	List of meetings held to facilitate the review and obtain comments on the Draft EIR	7-23
Table 7-10:	List of Focus Group Meetings held to facilitate the review and obtain comments on the Draft EIR	7-23
Table 7-11:	List of Key Stakeholder Meetings	7-24
Table 7-12:	List of Focus Group Meetings with specialists held to facilitate the review and obtain comments on the Draft EIR	7-24
Table 7-13:	Authority Meetings	7-24
Table 7-14:	EIA specialist team members and their fields of expertise	7-27
Table 7-15:	Peer review team	7-30
Table 7-16:	Impact assessment criteria and rating scales	7-32
Table 8-1:	Means and extremes of dry-bulb temperature at the Duynefontein site measured at 10 m above ground level (1980 to 2007)	8-13
Table 8-2:	Dry-bulb temperature observations at Bantamsklip (January 2008 to September 2009)	8-21
Table 8-3:	Means and extremes of temperature for Cape St. Francis for the period 2004 to 2007	8-28
Table 8-4:	Civil Structures in a 20 km radius around Duynefontein	8-37
Table 8-5:	Farming practices (number of farms)	8-45
Table 8-6:	Quantitative representation of tourism industry in the Duynefontein area	8-46
Table 8-7:	Zoning of Eskom properties at Duynefontein	8-48
Table 8-8:	Civil structures within a 20 km radius of Bantamsklip	8-52
Table 8-9:	Population: Overberg District Municipality (2001)	8-53
Table 8-10:	Farming practices (number of farms)	8-62

Table 8-11:	Quantitative representation of tourism industry in the Bantamsklip area	8-64
Table 8-12:	Zoning of Eskom properties at Bantamsklip	8-65
Table 8-13:	Civil structures within 30 km of the Thyspunt site	8-69
Table 8-14:	Farming practices (number of farms)	8-80
Table 8-15:	Approximate visitor expenditure during the billabong pro	8-81
Table 8-16:	Quantitative representation of tourism industry in the Thyspunt area	8-82
Table 8-17:	Zoning of Eskom properties at Thyspunt	8-84
Table 9-1:	Geotechnical suitability at all three alternative sites	9-9
Table 9-2:	Summary of Seismic Suitability at all three alternative sites	9-17
Table 9-3:	Summary of Geological Hazard Impacts at all three alternative sites	9-21
Table 9-4:	Hydrological impacts caused by the proposed nuclear power station at Duynefontein	9-26
Table 9-5:	Hydrological caused by the proposed nuclear power station impacts at Bantamsklip	9-27
Table 9-6:	Hydrological impacts caused by the proposed nuclear power station at Thyspunt	9-28
Table 9-7:	Impacts of the hydrological environment on the proposed nuclear power station at all three alternative sites	9-29
Table 9-8:	Geo-Hydrological impacts at Duynefontein during the construction phase	9-36
Table 9-9:	Geo-Hydrological impacts at Bantamsklip during the construction phase	9-37
Table 9-10:	Geo-Hydrological impacts at Thyspunt during the construction phase	9-38
Table 9-11:	Geo-Hydrological impacts at Duynefontein during the operational phase	9-39
Table 9-12:	Geo Hydrological impacts at Bantamsklip during the operational phase	9-40
Table 9-13:	Geo-Hydrological impacts at Thyspunt during the operational phase	9-41
Table 9-14:	Summary of Freshwater Supply Impacts at Duynefontein	9-46
Table 9-15:	Summary of Freshwater Supply Impacts at Bantamsklip	9-47
Table 9-16:	Summary of Freshwater Supply Impacts at Thyspunt	9-48
Table 9-17:	Rainfall leading up to the November 2007 flood at St. Francis	9-51
Table 9-18:	Impacts on flora at Duynefontein: nuclear power station and spoil	9-61
Table 9-19:	Impacts on flora at Duynefontein: powerlines and access roads	9-62
Table 9-20:	Impacts on flora at Bantamsklip	9-63
Table 9-21:	Impacts on flora at Thyspunt: nuclear power station and spoil	9-65
Table 9-22:	Impacts on flora at Thyspunt: Powerlines	9-66
Table 9-23:	Impacts on flora at Thyspunt: High Voltage Yard	9-67
Table 9-24:	Impacts on flora at Thyspunt: Eastern Access Road	9-68
Table 9-25:	Impacts on flora at Thyspunt: Western Access Road	9-69
Table 9-26:	Summary of dune geomorphology impacts at Duynefontein	9-77

Table 9-27:	Summary of dune geomorphology impacts at Bantamsklip	9-79
Table 9-28:	Summary of dune geomorphology impacts at Thyspunt	9-81
Table 9-29:	Impacts on dune geomorphology at all sites	9-85
Table 9-30:	Summary of impact on wetlands at Duynefontein	9-92
Table 9-31:	Summary of impacts on wetlands at Bantamsklip	9-93
Table 9-32:	Summary of impacts on wetlands at Thyspunt	9-94
Table 9-33:	Recommended monitoring and mitigation programmes	9-109
Table 9-34:	Summary of impacts on terrestrial vertebrate fauna at Duynefontein	9-112
Table 9-35:	Summary of impacts on terrestrial vertebrate fauna at Bantamsklip	9-115
Table 9-36:	Summary of impacts on terrestrial vertebrate fauna at Thyspunt	9-118
Table 9-37:	Summary of impacts on invertebrate fauna at Duynefontein	9-129
Table 9-38:	Summary of impacts on invertebrate fauna at Bantamsklip	9-131
Table 9-39:	Summary of impacts on invertebrate fauna at Thyspunt	9-133
Table 9-40:	Maximum inhalation and external effective dose predicted in the 40 km by 40 km study area for a 4000 MWe nuclear power station	9-136
Table 9-41:	Summary of air quality impacts at Duynefontein	9-148
Table 9-42:	Summary of air quality impacts at Bantamsklip	9-149
Table 9-43:	Summary of air quality impacts at Thyspunt	9-150
Table 9-44:	Recommended elevation of nuclear power station sites	9-159
Table 9-45:	Summary of oceanographic impacts at Duynefontein	9-161
Table 9-46:	Summary of oceanographic impacts at Bantamsklip	9-162
Table 9-47:	Summary of oceanographic impacts at Thyspunt	9-163
Table 9-48:	Assessment of impacts on surf breaks at St. Francis	9-164
Table 9-49:	Summary of impacts on the marine environment at Duynefontein	9-172
Table 9-50:	Summary of impacts on the marine environment at Bantamsklip	9-173
Table 9-51:	Summary of impacts on the marine environment at Thyspunt	9-174
Table 9-52:	Summary of heritage impacts at Duynefontein	9-185
Table 9-53:	Summary of heritage impacts at Bantamsklip	9-186
Table 9-54:	Summary of heritage impacts at Thyspunt	9-187
Table 9-55:	Significance rating for noise impacts at Duynefontein (all impacts without mitigation)	9-192
Table 9-56:	Significance rating for noise impacts at Bantamsklip (all impacts without mitigation)	9-192
Table 9-57:	Significance rating for noise impacts at Thyspunt (all impacts without mitigation)	9-193
Table 9-58:	Indicative tourism impacts in terms of bed nights	9-199
Table 9-59:	Summary of Tourism Impacts for the Duynefontein site	9-201
Table 9-60:	Summary of Tourism Impacts for the Bantamsklip site	9-202

Table 9-61:	Summary of Tourism Impacts for the Thyspunt site	9-203
Table 9-62:	Maximum Inhalation and External Effective Dose of radionuclides	9-205
Table 9-63:	Estimated economic impact on the markets for agricultural produce	9-208
Table 9-64:	Summary of agricultural impacts at Duynefontein	9-209
Table 9-65:	Summary of agricultural impacts at Bantamsklip	9-209
Table 9-66:	Summary of agricultural impacts at Thyspunt	9-210
Table 9-67:	Comparison of Cost-effectiveness Values of three nuclear power station sites (2008 prices R million)	9-211
Table 9-68:	Cost Differences between the proposed Nuclear-1 Sites	9-213
Table 9-69:	Comparison of the construction costs of a nuclear power station (constant 2008 prices, R millions)	9-214
Table 9-70:	Comparison of the operational cost of a nuclear power station (constant 2008 prices, R millions)	9-214
Table 9-71:	Comparison of the macroeconomic impact of the construction phase	9-215
Table 9-72:	Comparison of the macroeconomic impacts of the operational phase	9-215
Table 9 73:	Estimated Impact of the Nuclear Power station on the Fishing Industry during the Operational Phase (2007 prices)	9-217
Table 9-74:	Economic impacts at all three alternative sites	9-220
Table 9-75:	Economic impacts at Thyspunt	9-220
Table 9-76:	Economic impacts at Bantamsklip	9-221
Table 9-77:	Summary of site control impacts at Duynefontein	9-228
Table 9-78:	Summary of site control impacts at Bantamsklip	9-228
Table 9-79:	Summary of site control impacts at Thyspunt	9-229
Table 9-81:	Visual impacts at all three alternative sites	9-246
Table 9-82:	Summary of social impacts at Duynefontein during construction	9-260
Table 9-83:	Summary of social impacts at Duynefontein during operation	9-261
Table 9-84:	Summary of social impacts at Bantamsklip during construction	9-263
Table 9-85:	Summary of social impacts at Bantamsklip during operation	9-264
Table 9-86:	Summary of social impacts at Thyspunt during construction	9-266
Table 9-87:	Summary of social impacts at Thyspunt during construction	9-267
Table 9-88:	Summary of LOS for intersections and recommended upgrades for Duynefontein	9-276
Table 9-89:	Summary of LOS for intersections and recommended upgrades for Bantamsklip	9-277
Table 9-90:	Summary of LOS for intersections and recommended upgrades for Thyspunt	9-278
Table 9-91:	Impacts caused by waste generation and disposal at all three alternative sites	9-288
Table 9-92:	Factors relating to system reliability, security and quality of supply	9-289

Table 9-93:	Transmission integration factors	9-290
Table 9-94:	Potential impacts at all three sites (after mitigation or after optimisation)	9-300
Table 9-95:	<i>Summary of consolidated impacts</i>	9-319
Table 9-96:	<i>Summary of filtered impacts</i>	9-321
Table 9-97:	<i>Selection of key decision-factors for the choice of a preferred site</i>	9-323
Table 9-98:	Values allocated to the various degrees of significance	9-326
Table 9-99:	Weighted comparison of all three alternative sites	9-327
Table 10-1:	Potential impacts of high to medium significance for all sites (after mitigation)	10-3
Table 10-2:	Key decision-factors for selection of the preferred site alternative	10-13
Table 10-3:	Values allocated to site selection for Duynfontein and Thyspunt	10-16
Table 10-4:	Comparison of the Duynfontein and Thyspunt sites	10-17