MINUTES OF MEETING

CLIENT	:	**Eskom Holding SOC Ltd (ESKOM)**
PROJECT	:	**Basic Assessment Process for Zandkopsdrift 132kV Powerline**
PROJECT No	:	**J33019**
PURPOSE	:	**Public Open Day**
PLACE	:	**Landplaas Community Hall**
DATE & TIME	:	**13 November 2013, 09h00**
MINUTE TAKER	:	**Kabelo Mphake**

NAME	**REPRESENTING**	**E-MAIL ADDRESS**
PRESENT		
Tashriq Naicker (TN)	GIBB	tnaicker@gibb.co.za
Alecia Barnard (AB)	GIBB	abranard@gibb.co.za
Kabelo Mphake(KM)	GIBB	kmphake@gibb.co.za
Namhla Dondi (ND)	Eskom	Dondivn@eskom.co.za
Owen Peters (OP)	Eskom	Owen.peters@eskom.co.za

APOLOGIES

None

AGENDA POINT	DESCRIPTION
	WELCOME & INTRODUCTION
1.1.	KM welcomed all attendees.
1.2.	AB thanked all I&APs for the opportunity to interact with GIBB and indicated that all comments and communication from I&APs are regarded as high priority and would therefore like for this meeting to be as interactive possible.
2.	PURPOSE OF THE MEETING
2.1.	AB indicated that the purpose of the meeting was to: • Present and actively introduce the public to the proposed project and the project team and; • Provide further detail with regards to the project as well as provide the public with an opportunity to actively participate in the Environmental authorisation process.
3.	PROJECT PRESENTATION
3.1.	AB provided background on the proposed project in that ESKOM has submitted an application for Environmental authorisation by way of a Basic Assessment Process for the proposed construction of the Zandkopsdrift 132kV powerline from the proposed Hoekklip substation (Western Cape Province) to the Zandkopsdrift mine (Northern Cape Province).

AGENDA POINT	DESCRIPTION
3.2.	AB provided information about the Public Participation Process which commenced on 12 November 2013. The public participation activities undertaken as part of the BA to date were as follows: • Advertisement in the local newspaper – Ons Kontrei on 18 October 2013; • Site Notices placed at conspicuous places on 21 October 2013 • Distribution of announcement letters to I&APs on 08 November 2013 • Distribution of the Draft Basic Assessment Report for public comment from 12 November 2013 to 10 January 2014; and • Public Meeting held (13 November 2013)
4.	DISCUSSION
4.1.	Mr CA de Beer expressed his concerns in that one of the project specialists entered his property without any prior arrangement made to do so, during the June 2013 site visit. He further mentioned that a formal request was made by all landowners at the start of the project that prior arrangements to enter private property must be made by specialists before conducting their site visits. *AB responded that the landowners' request was communicated to all specialists during the project start-up meetings held with each one individually and apologised for the lack of communication and inconvenience caused by the specialist in that he did not communicate his comings and goings with the landowners affected.*
4.2.	Mr CH. de Beer indicated that his farm is located in close proximity to the proposed route alignment, but he has not yet been contacted by ESKOM to negotiate consideration in the event of the line crossing his property. As far as he could see on the maps provided by ESKOM, the proposed powerline will cross over a small corner of his farm. *AB indicated that ESKOM alone deals with all the landowner negotiations and discussions around consideration with regards to the powerline crossing over farm land. She requested that all the I&APs present at the meeting must include their farm names, numbers and portion numbers on the attendance register to ensure that they are registered and kept informed of the process.*
4.3.	Mr CH de Beer requested clarity with regards to the proposed and alternative route alignments and how the powerline will be accessed for maintenance purposes. *AB responded indicating that ESKOM specifically designed the route alignments so that the powerline will be easily accessible for maintenance purposes. She confirmed that existing farm roads will be used as far as possible to access the powerline and that by doing so, will reduce the amount of impacts on the surrounding environment compared to creating entirely new access roads.*
4.4.	Mr CA de Beer requested clarity in whether all affected landowners will be compensated for the full 300m corridor applied for by ESKOM? *TN and OP replied indicating that although ESKOM has applied for a 300m corridor, only 31m within the 300m will be the registered servitude (15m on each side of the powerline). The 300m corridor applied for will allow ESKOM to move the pylons around in that space as the exact*

AGENDA POINT	DESCRIPTION
	location of each pylon will only be confirmed during the construction period. *Therefore, all landowners will only be compensated for the 31m servitude.*
4.5.	Mr CA de Beer requested whether ESKOM will pay for a bigger servitude than 31m when for example, there are two servitudes adjacent to each other, and the land in between is too small for them to use. Do they lose this land or will ESKOM pay for this strip as well? *OP responded by stating that ESKOM cannot pay for land that they are not registering nor using, however this will be discussed on a case by case basis.*
4.6.	Mr Johan Van Hoven reiterated the need to clearly document all consideration matters brought to light by the affected landowners. He then furthermore expressed his concerns regarding the impacts on traffic and security during the construction and operational phases of the project. He requested that ESKOM takes all of these matters into consideration and implement the required mitigation measures to be adhered to during the construction and operational phases of the project. Mr Van Hoven also requested that ESKOM consult with the Farm watch prior to construction so to ensure effective communication between all parties. *AB responded that his comment is noted and that ESKOM will consult with the Farm watch closer to an appropriate time.*
4.7.	Mr C.A de Beer requested clarity whether ESKOM will be constructing gates along the routes where they need to access the powerline for maintenance purposes? He indicated that he does not want ESKOM to drive past his house and through his fields when carrying out maintenance on the powerline, and would therefore request that they construct their own private access gate and keep it locked at all times. *AB responded that his comment is noted and that ESKOM will indeed be constructing their own private gates for access to the powerline for maintenance purposes where the existing access cannot be used in the event of a landowner requesting otherwise.* *OP confirmed that the servitude agreement that Eskom will sign with the landowners make provision for the right to use existing roads and gates that give access to the property to the powerline and also to erect gates where necessary. He also confirmed that Eskom shall ensure that all gates used will be kept closed and locked at all times.*
4.8.	Mr CA de Beer requested that all landowners must be contacted telephonically and kept updated with the status of the Basic Assessment process. He also requested that all correspondence going forward on the project be in Afrikaans. *AB indicated that all I&APs on the database were phoned prior to the Public Open day, informing them of the availability of the draft BAR and Public Open day to be held at the Landplaas community hall.* *She then lastly confirmed that the registered I&APs on the project will be contacted telephonically going forward to update them on the progress of the project and availability of the final BAR via progress letters which will be in Afrikaans.*
4.9.	Mr Johan Van Hovan thanked GIBB and Eskom for the presentation and interaction thus far with the public regarding the project. He indicated that GIBB's communication with the public follows due process and that this is a lot better than the communication they have received from consultants related to other ESKOM projects in the area.
4.10.	Mr C.A de Beer requested clarity on the quality of the drainage lines identified by the aquatic specialist and also wanted to know where the ~50 to ~70 drainage lines are located as he is not aware of them?

AGENDA POINT	DESCRIPTION
	AB responded that the drainage lines are mostly caused by erosion and will only have flowing water during certain times of the year when the area receives high rainfall. She also indicated that most of these drainage lines are dry during most part of the year due to the very low rainfall in the arid region. *AB lastly confirmed that a Water Use License Application will be applied for as all drainage lines need to be taken into consideration, irrelevant of whether they have flowing water or not.*
5.	CLOSURE AND WAY FORWARD
5.1.	AB formally thanked the public for their participation and closed the Public meeting at 09h50 by confirming that no issues were raised during the meeting that were not answered or clarified during the meeting itself and would thus see that as a sign of no objection from the public against the project. AB reminded all to submit their comments on the project to the Public Participation Office (details provided at the meeting and previous engagement) before 10 January 2014, as their inputs are highly appreciated and will be incorporated in the final BAR.

NOTULE VAN VERGADERING

KLIËNT	**:**	**Eskom Holding SOC Ltd (ESKOM)**
PROJEK	**:**	**Basiese Evalueringsproses vir die Zandkopsdrift 132kV kraglyn**
PROJEK Nr	**:**	**J33019**
DOEL	**:**	**Publieke Ope-Dag**
PLEK	**:**	**Landplaas Gemeenskapsaal**
DATUM & TYD	**:**	**13 November 2013, 09h00**
NOTULEHOUER	**:**	**Kabelo Mphake**

NAAM	**VERTEENWOORDIG**	**E-POS ADRES**
TEENWOORDIG		
Tashriq Naicker (TN)	GIBB	tnaicker@gibb.co.za
Alecia Barnard (AB)	GIBB	abranard@gibb.co.za
Kabelo Mphake(KM)	GIBB	kmphake@gibb.co.za
Namhla Dondi (ND)	Eskom	Dondivn@eskom.co.za
Owen Peters (OP)	Eskom	Owen.peters@eskom.co.za

VERSKONING

None

AGENDA NOMMER	BESKRYWING
	VERWELKOMING & BEKENDSTELLINGS
1.1.	KM verwelkom almal teenwoordig.
1.2.	AB bedank alle B&GPe vir die geleentheid om met GIBB te kommunikeer en dui ook aan dat alle kommentaar en kommunikasie vanaf B&GPe beskou word as 'n hoë prioriteit en wil dus versoek dat hierdie vergadering so interaktief moontlik sal wees.
2.	DOEL VAN DIE VERGADERING
2.1.	AB dui daarop aan dat die doel van die vergadering is om: • Die publiek voor te stel aan die voorgestelde projek en projek span; • Verdere inligting en bersonderhede te verskaf met betrekking tot die projek, sowel as om die publiek geleentheid te gun om aktief deel te neem in die omgewingsmagtiging proses.
3.	PROJEK VOORLEGGING
3.1.	AB verskaf agtergrond met betrekking tot die voorgestelde projek in dat Eskom 'n aansoek ingedien het vir omgewingsmagtiging by wyse van 'n Basiese Evalueringsproses vir die voorgestelde konstruksie van die Zandkopsdrift 132kV kraglyn vanaf die voorgestelde Hoekklip substasie (Wes-Kaap Provinsie) tot en met die Zandkopsdrift myn (Noord-Kaap Provinsie).

AGENDA NOMMER	BESKRYWING
3.2.	AB verskaf inligting in verband met die Publieke Deelname Proses wat begin het op 12 November 2013. Die publieke deelname-aktiwiteite wat onderneem is dusver as deel van die Basiese Evalueringsproses, is soos volg: • Adverteer in die plaaslike koerant – Ons Kontrei op 18 Oktober 2013; • Terrein kennisgewings is opgerig by opsigtelike plekke op 21 Oktober 2013 • Die verspreiding van aankondigingsbriewe aan alle B&GPe op 8 November 2013 • Die verspreiding van die Konsep Basiese Impakbepalingsverslag vir openbare kommentaar vanaf 12 November 2013 tot en met 10 Januarie 2014, en • Publieke Vergadering gehou (13 November 2013)
4.	BESPREKING
4.1.	Mnr CA de Beer het dit bekend gemaak dat een van die projek spesialiste sonder enige voorafgaande reëling, sy grond betree het gedurende die Junie 2013 besoek. Hy het verder genoem dat 'n formele versoek deur alle grondeienaars aan die begin van die projek gemaak was dat reëlings vooraf getref moet word deur spesialiste voor die afneem van hul besoek. *AB het geantwoord dat alle spesialiste in kennis gestel was van die grondeienaars se versoek en dat alle kontakbesonderhede van hierdie grondeienaars aan die spesialiste verskaf was. Sy het verder om verskoning gevra vir die gebrek aan kommunikasie en ongerief wat veroorsaak was deur die spesialis.*
4.2.	Mnr CH de Beer het aangedui dat sy plaas naby geleë is aan die voorgestelde roete aanpassing en dat die kraglyn oor ‘n gedeelte van sy plaas gaan strek. Hy was tot dusver nog nie gekontak deur Eskom om te onderhandel in terme van die vergoeding vir die gebruik van sy grond.nie en wou dus weet of dit nog sou gebeur? *AB antwoord toe dat Eskom alleenlik alle grondeienaar onderhandelinge en gesprekke met betrekking tot die kraglyn kruisings hanteer. Sy versoek toe dat alle B&GPe teenwoordig by die vergadering hul plaas name, nommers en gedeelte nommers op die bywoningsregistrer sal aandui sodat hul op hoogte gehou kan word met alle verwikkelinge in verband met die projek.*
4.3.	Mnr CH de Beer versoek duidelikheid met betrekking tot die voorgestelde en alternatiewe roete aanpassings, asook die toeganklikheid tot die kraglyn tydens instandhouding prosedures. *AB antwoord toe dat albei roetes spesifiek ontwerp was deur ESKOM om maklike toegang tot die kraglyn te hê tydens instandhouding prosedures. Sy bevestig toe ook dat die alle bestaande plaaspaaie so ver moontlik gebruik sal word vir hierdie prosedures om sodoende die aantal impakte te verminder op die omliggende omgewing.*
4.4.	Mnr CA de Beer versoek duidelikheid of alle direk geaffekteerde grondeienaars vergoed sal word vir die volle 300m deurgang wat voor aansoek gedoen is deur ESKOM? *TN en OP antwoord toe dat alhoewel Eskom ‘n aansoek ingedien het vir 'n 300m deurgang, slegs 31m binne die 300m geregistreer sal word as ‘n ESKOM serwituut (15m aan beide kante van die kraglyn). Die 300m deurgang laat Eskom dus net toe om die kragpale rond te beweeg in die ruimte, aangesien die presiese ligging van elke kragpaal nie op die huidige oomblik bevestig kan word nie. Die presiese ligging sal slegs bevestig word gedurende die konstruksie periode.*

AGENDA NOMMER	BESKRYWING
	Alle grondeienaars sal dus net vergoed word vir die 31m serwituut.
4.5.	Mnr CA de Beer vra toe of Eskom grondeienaars sal vergoed vir 'n groter serwituut as die aangeduide 31m in die geval van twee ESKOM serwitute wat langs mekaar geleë is en die land tussenin te klein is vir plaaslike gebruik. *OP reageer toe op sy vraag en dui aan dat dit nie moontlik sal wees vir Eskom om te betaal vir grond wat hul nie kan gebruik nie, maar dat dit egter bespreek sal word op 'n geval tot geval basis.*
4.6.	Mnr Johan Van der Hoven het die behoefte om alle vergoedingsake met geaffekteerde grondeienaars duidelik te dokumenteer uit gelig. Hy het toe verder ook sy kommer oor die impak op die verkeer en sekuriteit tydens die konstruksie-en operasionele fases van die projek uit gelig en versoek dat Eskom al hierdie kwessies in ag sal neem en die nodige versagtende maatreëls sal implementeer. Mnr Van Hoven het toe laastens versoek dat ESKOM in kontak sal kom met die plaaswag voor afloop van die konstruksie fase, om sodoende doeltreffende kommunikasie tussen alle partye te verseker. *AB reageer toe op sy kommentaar en bevestig dat Eskom die plaaswag sal konsulteer nader aan die tyd.*
4.7.	Mnr CA de Beer versoek duidelikheid of Eskom hul eie privaat hekke langs die roetes waar nodig gaan bou vir toegang tot die kraglyn vir instandhouding prosedures? Hy het aangedui dat hy nie wil hê dat ESKOM verby sy huis en deur die middel van sy veld moet ry nie, en sal dus vra dat hulle hul eie private hek sal bou en ten alle tye gesluit sal hou. *AB reageer toe dat sy kommentaar aangeteken sal word en dat Eskom wel hul eie private hekke sal bou vir toegang tot die kraglyn vir instandhouding in die geval van 'n grondeienaar wat 'n spesiale versoek uitrig aan ESKOM om nie die bestaande toegang gebruik nie.* *OP bevestig toe dat die serwituut ooreenkoms wat Eskom sal teken met die grondeienaar voorsiening sal maak vir die reg om bestaande paaie en hekke wat toegang gee tot die eiendom te gebruik en op te rig waar nodig vir toegang tot die kraglyn tydens instandhouding prosedures. Alle hekke wat gebruik sal word tydens instandhouding prosedures sal ook gesluit gehou word ten alle tye.*
4.8.	Mnr CA de Beer versoek toe dat alle grondeienaars telefonies gekontak moet word en op hoogte gehou word met die status van die Basiese Evalueringsproses. Hy het ook versoek dat alle korrespondensie vorentoe in verband met die projek, in Afrikaans moet wees. *AB dui toe aan dat alle B&GPe op die huidige databasis persoonlik gekontak was telefonies om hul in kennis te stel van die Publieke Ope-dag, asook die beskikbaarheid van die konsep Basiese Evalueringsverslag by die Landplaas gemeenskapsaal.* *Sy het toe laastens bevestig dat die geregistreerde B&GPe op die projek persoonlik gekontak sal word vorentoe om hul in te lig oor die vordering van die projek asook die beskikbaarheid van die finale Basiese Evalueringsverslag via briewe in Afrikaans.*
4.9.	Mnr Johan Van der Hovan bedank GIBB en Eskom vir hul aanbieding asook hul interaksie met die publiek dusver met betrekking tot die projek. Hy het aangedui dat GIBB se kommunikasie met die publiek die nodige prosedures volg en dat dit baie beter is in vergelyking met kommunikasie ontvang vanaf konsultante wat verband hou met ander Eskom-projekte in die gebied.

AGENDA NOMMER	BESKRYWING
4.10.	Mnr CA de Beer versoek duidelikheid oor die gehalte van die dreineringslyne wat geïdentifiseer was deur die water spesialis en wou ook weet waar die ~ 50 tot ~ 70 dreineringslyne geleë is, aangesien hy nie daarvan bewus is nie? *AB het antwoord toe dat die dreineringslyne meestal veroorsaak word deur erosie en sal slegs vloeiende water bevat gedurende sekere tye van die jaar wanneer die gebied hoë reënval ontvang. Sy het ook aangedui dat meeste van hierdie dreineringslyne droog is gedurende die grootste deel van die jaar as gevolg van die baie lae reënval in die dorre streek.* *AB bevestig toe laastens dat 'n watergebruik lisensie-aansoek ingedien sal word aangesien alle dreineringslyne in ag geneem moet word, ongeag of hulle vloeiende water bevat of nie.*
5.	SLUITING EN DIE PAD VORENTOE
5.1.	AB bedank die publiek formeel vir hul deelname en sluit die publieke vergadering om 09h50 deur te bevestig dat daar geen probleme was wat tydens die vergadering wat nie uitgeklaar kon word nie en sal dit dus sien as 'n teken van geen beswaar vanaf die publiek teenoor die projek. AB herinner toe alle B&GPe om hul kommentaar in verband met die projek in te dien by die Kantoor vir Publieke Deelname (besonderhede verskaf tydens die vergadering en vorige betrokkenheid) voor 10 Januarie 2014. Sy plaas toe klem op die feit dat alle insette gelewer in verband met die projek hoogliks wardeer word en dat hierdie insette opgeneem sal word in die finale Basiese Evalueringsverslag.

ATTENDANCE REGISTER

ZANDKOPSDRIFT 132KV POWERLINE
PUBLIC PARTICIPATION OPEN DAY – 13 NOVEMBER 2013

Please PRINT all details clearly

NO.	NAME	ORGANISATION	POSITION	TEL NUMBER CELL NUMBER	FAX NUMBER	E-MAIL	POSTAL ADDRESS
1.	Johan vd Heven	Afriforum.	Chairpeson.	083233 7883.	–	jvdheven3@gmail.com.	PO Box 175 Vanrhynsdorp 8170
2.	Kebelo Mphake	GIBB	Public Part	0715702597	0118075670	kmphake@gibb.co.za	P.O Box 2700 Lynnwood 2128
3.	TASHEIA NAICKER	GIBB	Senior Environmental Scientist	0721752417	0123485878	tnaicker@gibb.co.za	
4.	OWEN PETERS	ESKOM	LAND & RIGHTS OFFICER	0844605801	0865667877	owen.peters@eskom.co.za	
5.	Namhla Dondi	Eskom	Enviro officer	073987 5430		dondivn@eskom.co.za	
6.	Alecia Barnard.	GIBB	Environmental	0126033259.	–	albarnard@gibb.co.za	

NO.	NAME	ORGANISATION	POSITION	TEL NUMBER CELL NUMBER	FAX NUMBER	E-MAIL	POSTAL ADDRESS
	M Visagie	141/5 Komkans.	Eienaar.	0276444015	0276444015.	-	Posbus 137 Lutzville 8165
7.	Jackie Tkotze.	293 Rissik	eienaar	0272171372	-	-	Posbus 166 Lutzville 8165
8.	H C A DE BEER	GED 162/12 162/13. KALKGAT.	EIENAAR	0272171368	0272171368		POSBUS 185 LUTZVILLE 8165
9.	R de Beer	520 Vleifontein	Eienaar se Vrou	0272171363	0272171363		Posbus 516 Lutzville 8165
10.	C A de Beer	520 Vleifontein	Eienaar	0272171363	0272171363	debeer@mylan.co.za	Posbus 516 Lutzville 8165
11.							
12.							