NAME & ORGANISATION	ISSUES/COMMENTS	RESPONSE		
11. ARCHAEOLOGY/PALEOECOLOGY/CULTURAL HERITAGE				
Mr Francois Kotze & Mr E Peterson Overberg District Municipality  Prof Timothy MO Maggs University of Cape Town	Cultural-historic criteria:  As the cultural-historic heritage of any region is of immense value to its sense of place, the pride of local communities, promotion of tourism and the unfolding of its economic potential, can the proposed development:  Ensure that features of cultural-historic importance such as old buildings and/or landscapes (rural and urban), pre-historic human habitats or dwelling places, rock paintings, shell middens, wrecks of ships (above and below water) or palaeontological artefacts, will be protected rather than destroyed?  Preclude unauthorized removal of artifacts from sites of cultural-historic importance?  Contribute to research and educational initiatives aimed at ensuring that the importance of our cultural-historic heritage is not overlooked?  Fit in with cultural-historic ambience of the region in which it is to be located in terms of its architectural design and the finishes to be used?  In the case of existing developments which do not meet criteria such as those above, are mitigatory measures possible through renovation or by any other means?  Help to enhance the cultural-historic integrity of the region over the long term?	A Heritage Resources Assessment will be commissioned as part of the Impact Assessment Phase of the EIA (Section 10.6.5 of the Scoping Report). Where possible, recommendations for the protection of resources and the promotion of their educational value will be made.		
	know from the case of Koeberg-Duynefontein that the important scientific material and information has been obtained from surface and subsurface investigations.			

NAME & ORGANISATION	ISSUES/COMMENTS	RESPONSE
Prof Bruce Rubidge BPI for Palaeontological Research	Your letter of 25 May has just reached me. I am afraid I cannot attend any of the public meetings but felt it necessary to respond to some of the proposed sites for a Nuclear Power station as far is it affects Palaeontological Heritage.  Some of the localities are unknown to me but there are two that I am aware of that do have some bearing on fossils.  Kleinsee area — There are many palaeontological and archaeological remains in the area and these need to be addressed.  Thuyspunt — There are many archaeological and palaeontological remains in the area. I am not sure of this exact locality, but certainly the area is rich.	as part of the Impact Assessment Phase of the EIA
Patricia Honey On behalf of FACT (For a Clean Tomorrow)	<ul> <li>Is a heritage assessment being done of the Thyspunt site?</li> <li>If a heritage assessment is being done, then will it include a cultural assessment, that will include any trees such as the Milkwood and Kershout that are over 60 years old therefore falling under the National Heritage Resources Act 25 of 1999.</li> </ul>	A Heritage Resources Assessment will be commissioned as part of the Impact Assessment Phase of the EIA (Section 10.6.5 of the Scoping Report). This Assessment will take into consideration all regulations falling under the National Heritage Resources Act 25 of 1999.
Mr John Charles Simson Overstrand Municipality Hermanus	Will a heritage impact assessment be done?	
Ms Melinda Swift Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site	<ul> <li>Impact on heritage sites.</li> </ul>	

NAME & ORGANISATION	ISSUES/COMMENTS	RESPONSE
Mr Eric Garth Freemantle	<ul> <li>The following issues must be addressed by the scoping process:</li> <li>Approximately 1 kilometer to the east from the proposed site is a 90% bay with a small island in it. In this bay are a set of fish traps that are built with rock and still work. These were created by the strandlopers. During the 60 years our family has been visiting the area various skeletons of strandlopers were found and taken to museums. The site of the fish traps need to be inspected as well as surrounding areas as these have a high maritime archaeological potential. I would say this is late Stone Age and must be protected. I would suggest that a full investigation is made by heritage specialists as this is the only site I know of where the strandlopers have left behind their building for future generations.</li> </ul>	Thank you for your comment. An investigation will be lodged into this particular site and its significance and the potential impacts thereon will be assessed in a Heritage Resources Assessment. This study will be commissioned as part of the Impact Assessment Phase of the EIA (Section 10.6.5 of the Scoping Report).
Mr Kobus Reichert Gamtkwa Khoisan Council	<ul> <li>The proposed area for the Thyspunt area is graded as an archaeological sensitive area the scoping process will include a phase 1 archaeological heritage assessment, but we request that a full heritage impact assessment be carried out that includes an historical assessment as well as an assessment of the living heritage of the Khoisan people. The finale report must also include copies of all previous archaeological reports on the area.</li> <li>We request that we will be provided with a copy of the report for the purposes of further consultation i.t.o. the Heritage Act, and that a site visit be arranged.</li> </ul>	A Heritage Resources Assessment will be commissioned as part of the Impact Assessment Phase of the EIA (Section 10.6.5 of the Scoping Report).  All reports arising from this EIA will be available in the public domain.