environmental affairs
Department:
Environmental Affairs
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

DETAILS OF SPECIALIST AND DECLARATION OF INTEREST

	(For official use only)
File Reference Number:	12/12/20/
NEAS Reference Number:	DEAT/EIA/
Date Received:	

Application for authorisation in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998), as amended and the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2010

PROJECT TITLE

Caledon Wind Power Project

Specialist:	ARC-Institute for Soil, Climate and Water		
Contact person:	Garry Paterson		
Postal address:	Private Bag X79, Pretoria		
Postal code:	0001	Cell:	083 556 b2458
Telephone:	012 310 2601	Fax:	012 323 1157
E-mail:	garry@arc.agric.za		
Professional affiliation(s) (if any)	Soil Science Society of SA; SA Soil Surveyors Organisation; International Erosion Control Association; Registered Soil Scientist (SACNASP)		

Project Consultant:	Arcus GIBB (Pty) Ltd		
Contact person:	Rebecca Thomas		
Postal address:	PO Box 2700, Rivonia		
Postal code:	2128	Cell:	082 302 9010
Telephone:	011 519 4701	Fax:	011 807 5670
E-mail:	rthomas@gibb.co.za		

4.2 The specialist appointed in terms of the Regulations_

I, **D G Paterson** , declare that --

General declaration:

- I act as the independent specialist in this application
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the Act, regulations and all other applicable legislation;
- I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing - any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and - the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- all the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct; and
- I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of Regulation 71 and is punishable in terms of section 24F of the Act.

Signature of the specialist:

ARC-Institute for Soil, Climate and Water

Name of company (if applicable):

27th October 2011

Date:

Arcus GIBB (PTY) LTD

Environmental Impact Assessment for the Establishment of the Caledon Wind Farm, Western Cape Province

Environmental Scoping Report

Soils and Agricultural Potential

By: D.G. Paterson, ARC-Institute for Soil Climate & Water, Private Bag X79, Pretoria 0001
Tel: (012) 310 2601 E-mail: garry@arc.agric.za

ARC Report No: GW/A/2009/87

Date: November 2011

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ARC-ISCW was appointed to look at the soils and agricultural potential for the Caledon Wind Energy Facility.

Land type information, at 1:250 000 scale was used, and the soils in each land type were assessed for their broad agricultural potential.

Almost the whole study area is dominated by low potential soils and/or rock, with little potential for arable agriculture, so the potential impact caused by loss of agricultural land is very small.

Environmental Impact Assessment for the Establishment of the Caledon Wind Farm, Western Cape Province

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLES

FIGURES

APPENDICES

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

ARC-Institute for Soil, Climate and Water was contracted by Gibb Africa (Pty) Ltd to contribute to the environmental impact assessment (EIA) report for a proposed wind energy facility, near Caledon, in the Western Cape Province.

This report addresses the soils occurring on the site, and aspects concerning their broad agricultural potential

1.2 Scope and Limitations

The information used to compile this report comes from the 1:250 000 scale land type survey of the area. Each **land type** (the units on the map) comprises a unique combination of broad soil pattern, terrain type and macroclimate. All of the information contained in the land type survey has been digitised using ArcGIS.

The main limitation of the land type information is that, due to the mapping scale of 1:250 000, the soils occurring can only be given as degree of dominance, and their exact position within each land type cannot be established, except by general terrain position (crests, midslopes, valley bottoms etc).

1.3 Methodology

Each land type occurring was assessed in terms of the relevant features of the soils occurring (depth, texture, form etc), as well as the terrain features (slope and terrain position), to determine the broad agricultural potential of the area.

2 DESCRIPTION OF THE RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT

2.1 General Study Area

2.1.1 Locality

The study area is located between the towns of Caledon and Botrivier, in the Western Cape Province. It lies to the north of the N2 National Road, and is bisected by the R43 trunk road to Villiersdorp (see Figure 1).



Figure 1 Locality map

2.1.2 Terrain

The area lies at an altitude of around 200 to 350 m above sea level, and has generally undulating topography, with slopes of between 4% and 25%, although some of the steeper areas have slope angles up to 40%.

2.1.3 Climate

The climate of the area (Monnik & Jacobs, 2002) is characterised by a rainfall pattern of all-year-round rainfall, with a definite peak in the winter months. Average long-term annual rainfall is between 384 mm in the lower areas, rising to around 534 mm in the higher areas.

Temperature ranges from an average daily minimum and maximum of 15.5^{o}C and 28.6^{o}C to 5.6^{o}C and 17.7^{o}C for January and July respectively. The extreme maximum temperature recorded was 41.4^{o}C (presumably in “berg wind” conditions) with the extreme low of -2.0^{o}C. Frost occurs occasionally (on 8 days per year on average) between mid-June and early September.

2.1.4 Geology

The parent material of the area (Geological Survey, 1977) consists of rocks of the Cape Supergroup, namely siltstone and mudstone of the Klipbokkop Formation, Bidouw Subgroup, with, in the west, siltstone, shale and mudstone of the Ceres Subgroup, Bokkeveld Group and, in the east, siltstone, sandstone and mudstone of the Wagen Drift Formation, Weltevreden Subgroup.

3 IMPACTS AND ISSUES IDENTIFICATION

3.1 Soils

Existing information was obtained from the map sheet 3319 Worcester (Jacobs *et al.,* 1994) from the national Land Type Survey, published at 1:250 000 scale. The soils are classified according to MacVicar *et al* (1977).

The area under investigation is covered by four land types, as shown on the map in the Appendix, namely:

Fa206 (Shallow soils, usually non-calcareous)
Fa207 (Shallow soils, usually non-calcareous)
Fb106 (Shallow soils, may be calcareous)
Fb110 (Shallow soils, may be calcareous)
Ib113 (Shallow soils with much rock)

It should be clearly noted that, since the information contained in the land type survey is of a reconnaissance nature, only the general dominance of the soils in the landscape can be given, and not the actual areas of occurrence within a specific land type. Also, other soils that were not identified due to the scale of the survey may also occur. **The site was not visited during the course of this study, and so the detailed composition of the specific land types has not been ground-truthed.**

A summary of the dominant soil characteristics of each land type is given in Table 1 below (the colours correspond to those used in the map in the Appendix).

The distribution of soils with high, medium and low agricultural potential within each land type is also given, with the dominant class shown in **bold type**.

Table 1 Land types occurring (with soils in order of dominance)

Land Type	Dominant soils	Depth (mm)	Percent of land type	Characteristics	Agric. Potential (%)
Fa206	Rock	-	28%	-	High:4.5
	Mispah 10	50-250	25%	Brown, sandy topsoils on hard rock	Mod: 1.6
	Cartref 20	50-250	21%	Grey-brown, sandy topsoils on hard rock	**Low: 93.9**
Fa207	Glenrosa 13/16/19	250-450	41%	Grey-brown, sandy/loamy topsoils on weathering rock	High:4.6
	Mispah 10	50-250	27%	Grey-brown, sandy/loamy topsoils on hard rock	Mod: 16.8
	Swartland 11/12/31/32	300-500	15%	Brown, loamy topsoils on brown to red-brown, blocky structured clay subsoils on rock	**Low: 78.6**
Fb106	Glenrosa 13/16	200-400	42%	Grey-brown, sandy/loamy topsoils on weathering rock	High:1.6
	Swartland 31/32	350-600	16%	Brown, loamy topsoils on brown, blocky structured clay subsoils on rock	Mod: 26.7
	Mispah 10	50-150	14%	Grey-brown, sandy/loamy topsoils on hard rock	**Low: 71.7**
Fb110	Glenrosa 13/16	250-450	30%	Brown, loamy topsoils on weathering rock	High: 4.1
	Cartref 11/12	250-450	21%	Grey-brown, sandy topsoils on hard rock	Mod: 3.8
	Mispah 10	50-150	15%	Grey-brown, sandy/loamy topsoils on hard rock	**Low: 92.1**
Ib113	Rock	-	79%	-	High:0.0
	Mispah 10	50-150	9%	Grey-brown, sandy/loamy topsoils on hard rock	Mod: 3.0
					Low: 97.0

3.2 Agricultural Potential

As can be seen from the information contained in Table 1, very little of the area contains high potential soils, and all land types are dominated by low potential soils.

Much of the study area consists of either:

- structured, often shallow, clay soils (mainly Swartland and Sterkspruit soil forms) of low to moderate potential,
- shallow lithosols (Mispah, Glenrosa) of low potential, or
- rock.

However, the low rainfall in the area (Section 2.3) means that there is only potential for arable agriculture in the area during winter (if the soils are sufficiently deep) and that the soils are suited for extensive grazing at best. The grazing capacity of the area is moderately low, around 14-20 ha/large stock unit (ARC-ISCW, 2004).



Figure 2 Google image of study area

The Google Earth image (Figure 2) of the area shows cultivated lands, especially in the south and south-east of the area, but given the prevailing soil characteristics, it is probable that much of this cultivation is forage crops for supplementary feed for livestock, rather than high-intensity arable cultivation.

4 TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR IMPACT ASSESSMENT PHASE

The major impact on the natural resources of the study area would be the loss of potentially agricultural land due to the construction of the turbines and associated infrastructure. However, this impact would be of limited significance and would be local in extent, and would obviously not be recommended in any irrigated areas.

However, this may well not be relevant, since sources such as Google Earth show little evidence of any irrigation within the study area. As far as any non-irrigated cultivation is concerned, the fact that the turbines will be placed far apart would mean that cultivation would still be possible between the structures.

The impact can be summarized as follows:

Table 2 Impact significance

Nature of impact	Loss of agricultural land	Land that is no longer able to be utilized due to construction of infrastructure
Extent of impact	Site only	Confined to areas within the site where turbines (15x15m), substation (80x100m), and access roads etc will be located
Duration of impact	Long-term	Will cease if operation of activity ceases
Probability of impact	Highly probable	
Severity of impact	Moderately severe	
Significance of impact	Low	Mainly due to low potential of area, as well as scattered/random nature of infrastructure which allows for almost all agricultural activities to continue on the land
Mitigation factors	• Ensure that as much as possible of the planned infrastructure be confined to transformed land, or non-arable areas • Ensure that use is made of existing roads, servitudes etc where at all possible. • Most of the infrastructure could be dismantled at a future stage to return the environment to approximately its original state.	

The proposed turbine positions (1-74) are shown on the map in the Appendix. From this map, it would appear that many of the turbine positions (such as 1-30 and 50-60) are in non-cultivated areas with steeper topography and/or shallower soils.

5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

If the mitigation measures listed in Table 2 are implemented wherever possible, the effects on agriculture are not likely to be severe, and the absence of any large component of high potential soils supports this.

No “fatal flaw” issues were identified.

6 REFERENCES

ARC-ISCW, 2004. Overview of the status of the agricultural natural resources of South Africa (First Edition). ARC-Institute for Soil, Climate and Water, Pretoria

Geological Survey, 1977. Geological map 3319 Worcester (1:250 000 scale). Department of Mineral & Energy Affairs, Pretoria

Jacobs, E.O., Schloms, B.H.A., Ellis, F., de Corte, M.W.M. & Oosthuizen, A.B., 1994. Field survey. In: *Land types of the map 3319 Worcester. Mem. Agric. Nat. Res. S. Afr.* No. 23. ARC-ISCW, Pretoria.

MacVicar, C.N., de Villiers, J.M., Loxton, R.F, Verster, E., Lambrechts, J.J.N., Merryweather, F.R., le Roux, J., van Rooyen, T.H. & Harmse, H.J. von M., 1977. Soil classification. A binomial system for South Africa. ARC-Institute for Soil, Climate & Water, Pretoria.

Monnik, K.A. & Jacobs, E.O., 2002. Climate data. In: *Land types of the map 3319 Worcester. Mem. Agric. Nat. Res. S. Afr.* No. 23. ARC-ISCW, Pretoria.

APPENDIX

Land Type Map


CALEDON WIND ENERGY SITE:
Land Types
N
Ib113
Fa206
Fb106
Fa207
Fb110
N2
Botrivier
0 0.5 1 2 3 4
Kilometers